

## Zootechnica

## **Review Form**

Double-blind review process		
Autor(s): (manuscript code):		
Title of the manuscript:		
Please provide the results of your evaluation by selecting one	of the following fields:	
1. General evaluation of the manuscript:  a) Brings new knowledge to the field b) Represents a significant contribution c) Confirms existing knowledge d) Does not fit to the ACTA profile		
2. Methods  a) Appropriate b) Insufficiently described c) Inadequate		
3. Experimental material size  a) Sufficient  b) Insufficient		
<ul> <li>4. Statistical analysis</li> <li>a) Redundant</li> <li>b) Adequate</li> <li>c) Acceptable on adopting Reviewer's suggestions</li> <li>d) Not performed, though necessary, or wrong in ass</li> </ul>	umption	
<ul> <li>5. Graphical illustration</li> <li>a) Appropriate quantity and quality</li> <li>b) Quality of Figures inappropriate</li> <li>c) Requires additions</li> <li>d) Inappropriate</li> </ul>		
<ul><li>6. Tables and Figures</li><li>a) Appropriate</li><li>b) Need improvements</li><li>c) Inappropriate or insuficient</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>7. Results interpretation</li> <li>a) Full and adequate</li> <li>b) Acceptable on adopting Reviewer's suggestions</li> <li>c) Inappropriate</li> </ul>	A	
<ul> <li>8. Citations</li> <li>a) Cited correctly</li> <li>b) Lack conformity with Authors Guidelines</li> <li>c) Incomplete, important items missing</li> <li>d) Poorly selected</li> </ul>		

<ul><li>9. Units of measurement</li><li>a) Appropriate (SI units)</li><li>b) Inapprotriate</li></ul>	7
10. Abstract	_
a) Descriptive b) Does not represent the essence c) Needs complete rewording 11. Text language quality a) Good	
<ul><li>b) Needs minor corrections</li><li>c) Needs major changes</li></ul>	4
12. Overall assessment of the manuscript and re	 commendation for publication in <i>ACTA</i>
<ul> <li>a) Very good - accept for publication</li> <li>b) Good - accept after minor changes</li> <li>c) Acceptable after major changes</li> <li>d) Poor quality, publication not recommend</li> </ul>	
Comments	
(The review without further comments will not be a	ccepted)
Reviewer's title, degree, and name	
Address	
Phone	
E-mail	
	ts of interest between me and the unknown author(s)
Date Re	viewer's signature

<sup>\*</sup>Please decline reviewing if a conflict of interests exists.